UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,019	07/14/2003	Noriyuki Unno	240151US90	4014
	590 04/16/2007 K MCCLELLAND MA	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			LEE, Y YOUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/16/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/618,019	UNNO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Y. Lee	2621				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		correspondence address				
Period fo	or Reply		•				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state to reply will, by state to reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? February 2007.					
· —							
3)□	, - <del>-</del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
· _	and <u>an</u> and a second						
	<ul> <li>Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	Claim(s) 1,2 and 5 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
_		·					
·	The specification is objected to by the Exam  The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are:		by the Examiner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,					
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	-	ian priority under 35 LLS C & 119/	a)_(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
. * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/14/03, 4/23/04, 5/22/06</u> . 6) Other:							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species 1 in the reply filed on 2/12/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the 3 embodiments are not mutually exclusive. This is not found persuasive because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the 3 different image pick up techniques that are specified in claims 2-4 are not disclosed to be used in a mutually inclusive manner. For example, applicant explicitly claims that the mirror in the image pick up apparatus is either a cold filter or a half mirror.

Applicant also asserts that there is no burden on the Examiner to examine all the claims. However, it is submitted that the mere fact that the claims are directed to 3 different embodiments are evidence that the entire application places a serious burden on the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 3 and 4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/12/07.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/618,019

Art Unit: 2621

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Priority**

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to because all diagrammatic blocks and features in Figures 1-3 are required to be distinctly labeled to indicate contents or function with legends (37 C.F.R. 1.83(a), 1.84(o)) since they are necessary for understanding of the drawing. Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsuo et al (06-189306).

Mitsuo et al, in Figures 1-4, 6, and 7, discloses the same in-vehicle occupant image pickup apparatus as specified in claims 1 and 5 of the present invention, comprising an image pickup unit 6 for shooting a face of an occupant 2 of a vehicle, wherein the image pickup unit 6 is located in a blind spot for the occupant 2, behind a hood that is provided in a dashboard of the vehicle; and a mirror 10 installed in an

Art Offic. 2021

instrument panel on the dashboard, wherein reflection in the mirror enables the image pickup unit to pick up an image of the face of the occupant 2.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsuo et al in view of Kulp et al (6,690,472).

Although Mitsuo et al discloses the image pickup unit includes a camera and a mirror that picks up an image of the face, it is noted Mitsuo et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose the type of camera and mirror used in an image pickup apparatus as specified in claim 2. Kulp et al, however, teaches the

concept of such well known infrared camera and the use of a cold filter that is also well known in the infrared technology.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Mitsuo et al and Kulp et al before him/her, to exploit the different types of camera and optical devices for an image pick up apparatus as taught by Kulp et al in the in-vehicle occupant imaging apparatus of Mitsuo et al in order to more accurately captures images in different spectrums.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,019 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621